## Coulomb sum rule in a covariant effective quark theory<sup>†</sup>

I. C. Cloët, <sup>\*1</sup> W. Bentz, <sup>\*2\*3</sup> and A.W. Thomas <sup>\*4</sup>

Important information on QCD effects in nuclei came from quasielastic electron scattering on nuclear targets, where a significant quenching of the Coulomb sum rule (CSR)

$$S_L(|\mathbf{q}|) = \int_{\omega^+}^{|\mathbf{q}|} d\omega \frac{R_L(\omega, |\mathbf{q}|)}{Z \, G_{Ep}^2(Q^2) + N \, G_{En}^2(Q^2)} \,,$$

compared to the non-relativistic expectation  $(S_L(|\mathbf{q}|) = 1 \text{ for } |\mathbf{q}| \text{ much greater than the Fermi momentum}), was observed^{1}; on the proviso that the nucleon form factors are not modified by the nuclear medium. (In the above expression, <math>R_L(\omega, |\mathbf{q}|)$  is the longitudinal response function,  $G_{Ep}$  and  $G_{En}$  are the free nucleon Sachs form factors,  $Q^2 = \omega^2 + \mathbf{q}^2$  is the 4-momentum transfer, and  $\omega^+$  excludes the elastic peak.)

In this work we extend our description of the free nucleon form  $factors^{2}$ , which were obtained by using the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model as an effective quark theory of QCD, to the in-medium case, including the self consistent scalar and vector potentials in the nucleon propagators. As a result, we find that at nuclear matter saturation density the proton Dirac and charge radii each increase by about 8%. Using these in-medium nucleon form factors and propagators obtained in the effective quark theory, we calculate the quasi-elastic longitudinal response function in nuclear matter by solving the Dyson equation for the polarization propagator in the relativistic random phase approximation  $(RPA)^{3}$  on the level of nucleons. For the nucleon-nucleon interaction we take into account the exchange of  $\sigma$ ,  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  mesons, described in the framework of the NJL model.

Our Hartree and RPA results for the longitudinal response function are shown in Fig. 1 for  $|\mathbf{q}| = 0.5$  and 0.8 GeV. We find that the longitudinal response function determined with in-medium nucleon form factors is quenched relative to the result obtained using the free form factors. In our calculation, this quenching is directly associated with a softer proton Dirac form factor ( $F_{1p}$ ) in the medium. We observe a qualitative agreement with the <sup>208</sup>Pb data of Ref.<sup>1</sup>).

Results for the CSR, using the nucleon form factors evaluated at three baryon densities ( $\rho_B =$ 0, 0.1, 0.16 fm<sup>-3</sup>) are presented in Fig.2. At  $|\mathbf{q}| \simeq 1$ GeV we find relativistic corrections of about 20% (relative to the nonrelativistic value  $S_L = 1$ ), and an additional 30% reduction by the nuclear medium for the

- \*<sup>2</sup> Radiation Laboratory, RIKEN
- \*<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, Tokai University

case  $\rho_B = 0.16 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ . We observe a qualitative agreement with the <sup>208</sup>Pb data, but not with the state-of-the-art Green function Monte Carlo (GFMC) result for <sup>12</sup>C from Ref.<sup>4</sup>). The <sup>12</sup>C data from Ref.<sup>5</sup> shown in the figure still cannot distinguish between our and the GFMC results.



Fig. 1. Hartree and RPA results for the longitudinal response function in symmetric nuclear matter. Results labeled *free current* are obtained using the free nucleon form factors, whereas the *NM current* results use the in-medium form factors. The <sup>208</sup>Pb data at  $|\mathbf{q}| = 0.5$  GeV are also shown for comparison.



Fig. 2. CSR determined using the nucleon form factors at baryon density  $\rho_B = 0$  (free current),  $\rho_B = 0.1 \,\mathrm{fm}^{-3}$ (typical of <sup>12</sup>C), and  $\rho_B = 0.16 \,\mathrm{fm}^{-3}$  (NM current). The <sup>208</sup>Pb and <sup>12</sup>C data as well as the Green Function Monte Carlo (GFMC) results from Ref.<sup>4</sup>) are also shown for comparison.

This work was supported by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (Kakenhi Grant No. 25400270).

References

- J. Morgenstern and Z. Meziani, Phys. Lett. B 515, 269 (2001).
- I.C. Cloët, W. Bentz and A.W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. C90, 045202 (2014).
- 3) K. Wehrberger, Phys. Rept. 225, 273 (1993).
- 4) A. Lovato et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 092501 (2013).
- 5) P. Barreau et al., Nucl. Phys. A 402, 515 (1983).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Condensed from an article by I. C. Cloët et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 032701 (2016).
\*1 Physics Division Argeners National Laboratory.

<sup>\*1</sup> Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory

<sup>\*4</sup> Department of Physics, University of Adelaide