

8 Spinor transformations

Here we discuss the form of the spinor transformation matrix \hat{S} , which is defined by (see Sect. 6)

$$\left(\hat{S}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \hat{S}\right) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu \quad (8.1)$$

1. Lorentz transformations: We will show that

$$\hat{S} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\alpha}} \quad (8.2)$$

satisfies (8.1). Here the vector $\vec{\omega}$ is in the direction of the velocity \vec{v} , and its form will be given below. $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)$ are the Dirac matrices.

• Infinitesimal transformation: Setting $\hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{s}$, $\Lambda \rightarrow \lambda$, Eq.(8.1) becomes

$$\left(\hat{s}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \hat{s}\right) = \lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu \quad (8.3)$$

On the l.h.s. we can use

$$\hat{s} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\alpha} \quad (8.4)$$

Then the l.h.s. of (8.3), up to $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\omega})$, is:

$$\hat{s}^{-1} \gamma^0 \hat{s} = \gamma^0 - \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\gamma} \quad (8.5)$$

$$\hat{s}^{-1} \gamma^i \hat{s} = \gamma^i - \omega^i \gamma^0 \quad (8.6)$$

On the r.h.s. of (8.3), we need the infinitesimal form of the Lorentz transformation, $x'^\mu = \lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu$, up to $\mathcal{O}(\vec{v})$:

$$x'^0 = x^0 - \frac{\vec{v}}{c}\cdot\vec{x} = \left(g^0{}_\nu + \frac{v_k}{c} g^k{}_\nu\right) x^\nu \equiv \lambda^0{}_\nu x^\nu \quad (8.7)$$

$$x'^i = x^i - \frac{v^i}{c} x^0 = \left(g^i{}_\nu - \frac{v^i}{c} g^0{}_\nu\right) x^\nu \equiv \lambda^i{}_\nu x^\nu \quad (8.8)$$

Therefore $\lambda^0{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$ and $\lambda^i{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$ agree with the r.h.s. of (8.5) and (8.6), if $\vec{\omega} = \vec{v}/c$ for the infinitesimal case. Then Eq.(8.3) holds for the infinitesimal case.

From (8.7) and (8.8) we have

$$\lambda^\mu{}_\nu = g^\mu{}_\nu + \frac{\omega_k}{n} (g^{\mu 0} g^k{}_\nu - g^{\mu k} g^0{}_\nu) \equiv \left(1 + \frac{\omega_k}{n} I^k\right)^\mu{}_\nu \quad (8.9)$$

where we used $\frac{\vec{\xi}}{n}$ instead of $\vec{\omega}$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$ will be taken at the end), and the 4×4 matrix I^k is defined by

$$(I^k)^\mu{}_\nu = g^{\mu 0} g^k{}_\nu - g^{\mu k} g^0{}_\nu \quad (8.10)$$

If we take the coordinate system so that $\vec{\omega}$ (and \vec{v}) is along the x axis, $\vec{\omega} = (\omega, 0, 0)$, then Eq. (8.9) becomes

$$\lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{n} I\right)^\mu{}_\nu \quad (8.11)$$

where the matrix I is given by

$$I^\mu{}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix has the properties

$$(I^2)^\mu{}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I^3 = I^5 = \dots = I, \quad I^4 = I^6 = \dots = I^2$$

- Finite transformations: The finite spinor transformation \hat{S} of (8.2) is obtained from the infinitesimal \hat{s} by

$$\hat{S} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{s}^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\vec{\omega}}{n} \cdot \vec{\alpha}\right)^n \quad (8.12)$$

Using then (8.3) n times, we get ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in the formula below)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \hat{S} &= (\hat{s}^{-1})^n \gamma^\mu \hat{s}^n = (\hat{s}^{-1})^{n-1} (\hat{s}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \hat{s}) \hat{s}^{n-1} \\ &= \lambda^\mu{}_\nu (\hat{s}^{-1})^{n-2} (\hat{s}^{-1} \gamma^\nu \hat{s}) \hat{s}^{n-2} = \dots = (\lambda^n)^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu \end{aligned} \quad (8.13)$$

Therefore, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^n$ becomes the usual Lorentz matrix $\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu$, Eq.(8.1) is satisfied. From (8.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda^n) &= \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{n} I\right)^n = e^{-\omega I} = \cosh(\omega I) - \sinh(\omega I) \\ &= 1 + I^2 \left(\frac{\omega^2}{2!} + \frac{\omega^4}{4!} + \dots\right) - I \left(\omega + \frac{\omega^3}{3!} + \dots\right) \\ &= (1 - I^2) + I^2 \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2}{2!} + \frac{\omega^4}{4!} + \dots\right) - I \left(\omega + \frac{\omega^3}{3!} + \dots\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} + I^2 \cosh \omega - I \sinh \omega = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \omega & -\sinh \omega & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh \omega & \cosh \omega & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the usual Lorentz matrix (for a transformation along x axis, $\vec{v} = (v, 0, 0)$) if

$$\sinh \omega = \gamma \frac{v}{c}, \quad \cosh \omega = \gamma \quad (8.14)$$

with $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$. This concludes the check of Eq.(8.1) for Lorentz transformations.

2. Rotations: We will show that

$$\hat{S} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\vec{\phi}\cdot\vec{\Sigma}} \quad (8.15)$$

satisfies¹

$$\left(\hat{S}^{-1} \gamma_i \hat{S}\right) = R_{ij} \gamma_j \quad (8.16)$$

Here the vector $\vec{\phi}$ is in the direction of the rotation axis, and will be given below. $\vec{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_5 \vec{\alpha}$ are the relativistic spin matrices. Because $[\vec{\Sigma}, \gamma^0] = 0$, Eq.(8.16) is the same as

$$\left(\hat{S}^{-1} \alpha_i \hat{S}\right) = R_{ij} \alpha_j \quad (8.17)$$

- Infinitesimal rotation: Setting $\hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{s}$, $R \rightarrow r$, Eq.(8.17) becomes

$$\left(\hat{s}^{-1} \alpha_i \hat{s}\right) = r_{ij} \alpha_j \quad (8.18)$$

On the l.h.s. we can use

$$\hat{s} = 1 - \frac{i}{2}\vec{\phi}\cdot\vec{\Sigma} \quad (8.19)$$

Then the l.h.s. of (8.18), up to $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\phi})$, is:

$$\hat{s}^{-1} \alpha_i \hat{s} = \alpha_i + \frac{i}{2}\phi_k [\Sigma_k, \alpha_i] = \alpha_i - \epsilon_{ijk} \phi_k \alpha_j \quad (8.20)$$

On the r.h.s. of (8.18), we need the infinitesimal form of the rotation matrix, $x'_i = r_{ij} x_j$, up to $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\phi})$, where the direction of $\vec{\phi}$ is the rotation axis and ϕ is the rotation angle. From ordinary mechanics, this is given by

$$\vec{x}' = \vec{x} - \vec{x} \times \vec{\phi} \Rightarrow x'_i = (\delta_{ij} - \epsilon_{ijk} \phi_k) x_j \equiv r_{ij} x_j \quad (8.21)$$

¹For the pure rotations, we will not distinguish between the 3-vectors x^i and x_i .

Therefore $r_{ij} \alpha_j$ agrees with (8.20), if $\vec{\phi} = \vec{\varphi}$ for the infinitesimal case. Then Eq.(8.18) holds for the infinitesimal case.

If we take the coordinate system so that the rotation axis is the z direction ($\vec{\phi} = (0, 0, \phi)$), then from (8.21) we have (with $\phi \rightarrow \phi/n$, and $n \rightarrow \infty$ at the end)

$$r_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \epsilon_{3ij} \frac{\phi}{n} = \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{n} I\right)_{ij} \quad (8.22)$$

where the 3×3 matrix I is defined by

$$I_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This matrix has the properties

$$(I^2)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I^3 = -I, \quad I^4 = -I^2, \quad I^5 = I, \dots$$

- Finite rotations: The finite rotation \hat{S} of (8.15) is obtained from the infinitesimal \hat{s} by

$$\hat{S} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{s}^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{i \vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\Sigma}}{2n}\right)^n \quad (8.23)$$

Using then (8.18) n times, we get ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ in the formula below)

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}^{-1} \alpha_i \hat{S} &= (\hat{s}^{-1})^n \alpha_i \hat{s}^n = (\hat{s}^{-1})^{n-1} (\hat{s}^{-1} \alpha_i \hat{s}) \hat{s}^{n-1} \\ &= r_{ij} (\hat{s}^{-1})^{n-2} (\hat{s}^{-1} \alpha_j \hat{s}) \hat{s}^{n-2} = \dots = (r^n)_{ij} \alpha_j \end{aligned} \quad (8.24)$$

Therefore, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r^n$ becomes the usual rotation matrix R_{ij} , Eq.(8.17) is satisfied. From (8.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (r^n) &= \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{n} I\right)^n = e^{-\phi I} = \cosh(\phi I) - \sinh(\phi I) \\ &= 1 + I^2 \left(\frac{\phi^2}{2!} - \frac{\phi^4}{4!} + \dots\right) - I \left(\phi - \frac{\phi^3}{3!} + \dots\right) \\ &= (1 + I^2) - I^2 \left(1 - \frac{\phi^2}{2!} + \frac{\phi^4}{4!} + \dots\right) - I \left(\phi - \frac{\phi^3}{3!} + \dots\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} - I^2 \cos \phi - I \sin \phi = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This agrees with the usual rotation matrix (for a rotation about the z axis, $\vec{\varphi} = (0, 0, \varphi)$) if $\phi = \varphi =$ angle of rotation. This concludes the check of Eq.(8.17) for rotations.